

EXHIBIT 4

REDACTED

Online Bid Prediction

simulations) Status: Proposal
(evaluated via offline

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]@ (DRX Quality)
[REDACTED]@, [REDACTED]@,
[REDACTED]@ [REDACTED]

Feb 2017

Background

Predicting bid responses from RTB buyers is a fundamental problem that has applications in many areas including Reserve Price Optimization (RPO) and [REDACTED]

In the context of RPO the key goal is to exploit the gap between the winning bid and the transaction price by inserting a (higher) reserve price between the two thereby inducing the winner to spend more. The challenge lies in predicting the per-buyer reserve price for each query. While the current RPO model generates [REDACTED], analysis indicates that [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] In fact, as can be seen from the analysis there are [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED].

Customizing Models [REDACTED]

One of the main motivations of this work is [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

We analyzed [REDACTED]

Moreover we also observed that [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Comment [8]: Just to clarify: While I really like the idea and think that it's worth pursuing, it's important to recognize [REDACTED]

Comment [9]: There are several options here:

1. [REDACTED]

Comment [10]: Yes, I think you're right that there absolutely are options, such as the ones you mention, so I'm not _that_ concerned. :) Just wanted to bring it up.

Comment [11]: [REDACTED]

Comment [12]: I plan to spend some cycles over the next few months to think through the RPO story for GDN. [REDACTED]

Comment [13]: But if we want to move GDN to RPO, [REDACTED]

Comment [14]: I think there's a bit of a semantic shift in what 'RPO' means for [REDACTED] vs how we think about it here.

Summarizing the above observations, we see that [REDACTED]

Online Bid Prediction Framework

